



MARINA COAST WATER DISTRICT

11 RESERVATION ROAD, MARINA, CA 93933-2099

Home Page: www.mcwd.org

TEL: (831) 384-6131 FAX: (831) 883-5995

DIRECTORS

HOWARD GUSTAFSON
President

PETER LE
Vice President

THOMAS P. MOORE
WILLIAM Y. LEE
JAN SHRINER

Agenda

Regular Board Meeting, Board of Directors Marina Coast Water District

Marina Council Chambers
211 Hillcrest Avenue, Marina, California
Monday, March 2, 2015, 6:30 p.m. PST

This meeting has been noticed according to the Brown Act rules. The Board of Directors meet regularly on the first and third Monday of each month. The meetings normally begin at 6:30 p.m. and are held at the City of Marina Council Chambers at 211 Hillcrest Avenue, Marina, California.

Our Mission: *We provide our customers with high quality water, wastewater collection and conservation services at a reasonable cost, through planning, management and the development of water resources in an environmentally sensitive manner.*

1. Call to Order

2. Roll Call

3. Public Comment on Closed Session Items *Anyone wishing to address the Board on matters appearing on Closed Session may do so at this time. Please limit your comment to four minutes. The public may comment on any other items listed on the agenda at the time they are considered by the Board.*

4. Closed Session

A. Pursuant to Government Code 54956.9
Conference with Legal Counsel – Existing Litigation
(Subdivision (a) of Section 54956.9)

- 1) Ag Land Trust v. Marina Coast Water District, Monterey County Superior Court Case No. M105019; Sixth Appellate District Court of Appeals Case Nos. H038550 and H039559

This agenda is subject to revision and may be amended prior to the scheduled meeting. Pursuant to Government Code section 54954.2(a)(1), the agenda for each meeting of the Board shall be posted at the City of Marina Council Chambers. The agenda shall also be posted at the following locations but those locations are not official agenda posting locations for purposes of section 54954.2(a)(1): District offices at 11 Reservation Road, Seaside City Hall, the City of Marina Library, and the City of Seaside Library. A complete Board packet containing all enclosures and staff materials will be available for public review on Wednesday, February 25, 2015. Copies will also be available at the Board meeting. Information about items on this agenda or persons requesting disability related modifications and/or accommodations should contact the Board Clerk 48 hours prior to the meeting at: 831-883-5910.

- 2) In the Matter of the Application of California-American Water Company (U210W) for Approval of the Monterey Peninsula Water Supply Project and Authorization to Recover All Present and Future Costs in Rates, California Public Utilities Commission No. A.12-04-019 & A.13-05-017 Settlement Agreement
 - 3) California-American Water Company vs Marina Coast Water District; Monterey County Water Resources Agency; and Does 1 through 10, San Francisco Superior Court Case No. CGC-13-528312 (Complaint for Declaratory Relief)
 - 4) Marina Coast Water District v, California Coastal Commission (California-American Water Company, Real Party in Interest), Santa Cruz County Superior Court Case No. CGC-13-528312 (Petition for Writ of Mandate).
 - 5) Marina Coast Water District v, California State Lands Commission (California-American Water Company, Real Party in Interest), case number pending (Petition for Writ of Mandate).
- B. Pursuant to Government Code 54957.6
Conference with Labor Negotiator
Agency Negotiator (to be determined)
Employee Organization: Marina Coast Water District Employees Association
- C. Pursuant to Government Code 54957.6
Conference with Labor Negotiator
Agency Negotiator (to be determined)
Employee Organization: Teamsters Local 890
- D. Pursuant to Government Code 54956.8
Conference with Real Property Negotiator
Property: Recycled Water Pipeline, Recycled Water
Agency Negotiators: Peter Le and Howard Gustafson
Negotiating parties: MRWPCA, MCWRA, MPWMD, City of Salinas, and others.
Under Negotiation: Price and Terms
- E. Pursuant to Government Code 54957
Public Employee Appointment
Title: General Manager

7:00 p.m. Reconvene Open Session

5. **Reportable Actions Taken during Closed Session** *The Board will announce any reportable action taken during closed session and the vote or abstention on that action of every director present, and may take additional action in open session as appropriate. Any closed session items not completed may be continued to after the end of all open session items.*
6. **Pledge of Allegiance**

7. **Oral Communications** *Anyone wishing to address the Board on matters not appearing on the Agenda may do so at this time. Please limit your comment to four minutes. The public may comment on any other items listed on the agenda at the time they are considered by the Board.*

8. **Consent Calendar** *Board approval can be taken with a single motion and vote. A Board member or member of the public may request that any item be pulled from the Consent Calendar for separate consideration at this meeting or a subsequent meeting. The public may address the Board on any Consent Calendar item. Please limit your comment to four minutes.*

A. Adopt Resolution No. 2015-09 to Revise the District's Sick Leave Policy

B. Approve the Draft Minutes of the Regular Board Meeting of February 17, 2015

9. **Action Items** *The Board will review and discuss agenda items and take action or direct staff to return to the Board for action at a following meeting. The public may address the Board on these items as each item is reviewed by the Board. Please limit your comment to four minutes.*

A. Discussion and Possible Action to Consider Adoption of Resolution No. 2015-06 to Approve a FY 2014-2015 Capital Budget Adjustment of \$650,000 for the Regional Desalination Project

Action: The Board of Directors will consider approving a FY 2014-2015 Capital Budget Adjustment of \$650,000 for the Regional Desalination Project.

B. Receive an Update on the Desalination Project Work Plan

Action: The Board of Directors will receive an update on the Desalination Project Work Plan and provide any direction to staff.

C. Discussion and Possible Action to Consider Adoption of Resolution No. 2015-10 to Concur in Nomination to the ACWA/JPIA Executive Committee

Action: The Board of Directors will consider if they want to concur in nomination, David Hodgkin of Scotts Valley Water District, to the ACWA/JPIA Executive Committee.

D. Discussion and Possible Action to Consider Providing Direction Regarding Nomination to the Coastal Network, Seat A of the California Special Districts Association Board

Action: The Board of Directors will consider providing direction regarding a Board member nomination to the Coastal Network, Seat A of the California Special Districts Association Board.

10. **Staff Report**

A. Distribute Draft Budget for Discussion at the Budget Workshop on March 16, 2015

11. Informational Items *Informational items are normally provided in the form of a written report or verbal update and may not require Board action. The public may address the Board on Informational Items as they are considered by the Board. Please limit your comments to four minutes.*

A. General Manager's Report

B. Counsel's Report

C. Committee and Board Liaison Reports

1. Water Conservation Commission

2. Joint City-District Committee

3. Executive Committee

4. Community Outreach

5. MRWPCA Board Member Liaison

6. LAFCO Liaison

7. FORA

8. WWOC Report

9. JPIA Liaison

10. Special Districts Association

12. Director's Comments

A. Director reports on meetings with other agencies, organizations and individuals on behalf of the District and on official District matters.

13. Adjournment *Set or Announce Next Meeting(s), date(s), time(s), and location(s):*

*Regular Meeting: Monday, March 16, 2015, 6:30 p.m.,
Marina Council Chambers
211 Hillcrest Avenue, Marina*

Marina Coast Water District
Agenda Transmittal

Agenda Item: 8

Meeting Date: March 2, 2015

Prepared By: Bill Kocher

Approved By: Bill Kocher

Agenda Title: Consent Calendar

Staff Recommendation: The Board of Directors approve the Consent Calendar as presented.

Background: *“2014 Strategic Plan Mission Statement – To provide our customers with high quality water, wastewater collection and conservation services at a reasonable cost, through planning, management and the development of water resources in an environmentally sensitive manner.”*

Consent calendar consisting of:

- A) Adopt Resolution No. 2015-09 to Revise the District’s Sick Leave Policy
- B) Approve the Draft Minutes of the Regular Board Meeting of February 17, 2015

Discussion/Analysis: See individual transmittals.

Environmental Review Compliance: None required.

Other Considerations: The Board of Directors can approve both of these items together or the Board can pull these items and discuss each one individually.

Material Included for Information/Consideration: The draft minutes of February 17, 2015.

Staff Recommendation: The Board of Directors approve the Consent Calendar as presented.

Action Required: _____Resolution X Motion _____Review
(Roll call vote is required.)

Board Action

Motion By _____ Seconded By _____ No Action Taken _____

Ayes _____ Abstained _____

Noes _____ Absent _____

Marina Coast Water District
Agenda Transmittal

Agenda Item: 8-A

Meeting Date: March 2, 2015

Prepared By: Jean Premutati

Approved By: Bill Kocher

Agenda Title: Adopt Resolution No. 2015-09 to Revise the District's Sick Leave Policy

Staff Recommendation: The Board of Directors adopt the revised sick leave policy that now meets the requirements of the new California Paid Sick Leave Policy for 2015

Background: The new California Paid Sick Leave law was enacted January 1, 2015 but does not go into effect until July 1, 2015. This law entitles an employee who, on or after July 1, 2015, works in California for 30 or more days within a year from the beginning of employment is entitled to paid sick leave at the rate of one hour per 30 days worked. The leave is paid at the employee's regular wage rate. Accrued paid sick leave can carry over to the following year of employment and may be capped at forty-eight hours or six days of paid leave. However, employers may limit the use of paid sick days to twenty four hours or three days in each year of employment. Employees may not use the accrued paid sick leave until the 90th day of employment.

Discussion/Analysis: The District currently offers its regular, full-time employees paid sick leave at the rate of 3.7 hours per bi-weekly period with no maximum, accrual, but this new law will apply to paid interns and part-time employees only. We currently employ three interns.

Environmental Review Compliance: None.

Financial Impact: Yes No Funding Source/Recap: Fort Ord Water and Marina Water will fund \$936 and Fort Ord Sewer and Marina Sewer will fund \$312 for FY2015/2016.

Other Considerations: This is a State Law and must be followed.

Material Included for Information/Consideration: Resolution No. 2015-09; and, Revised Sick Leave Policy.

Action Required: Resolution Motion Review

Board Action

Motion By _____ Seconded By _____ No Action Taken _____

Ayes _____ Abstained _____

Noes _____ Absent _____

March 2, 2015

Resolution No. 2015-09
Resolution of the Board of Directors
Marina Coast Water District
Revise Policy No. 11.7 of the Employee Handbook

RESOLVED by the Board of Directors (“Directors”) of the Marina Coast Water District (“District”), at a regular meeting duly called and held on March 2, 2015 at 211 Hillcrest Avenue, Marina, California as follows:

WHEREAS, staff is committed to revising the employee handbook as employment laws and programs change; and;

WHEREAS, the handbook should be reviewed periodically for practicality and legal compliance; and,

WHEREAS, staff recommends revisions and/or updates to the following policies:

11.7 – New California Paid Sick Leave Law

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of Directors of the Marina Coast Water District does hereby adopt Resolution No. 2015-09 to update and revise Policy No. 11.7 of the employee handbook.

PASSED AND ADOPTED on March 2, 2015, by the Board of Directors of the Marina Coast Water District by the following roll call vote:

Ayes: Directors _____

Noes: Directors _____

Absent: Directors _____

Abstained: Directors _____

Howard Gustafson, President

ATTEST:

Bill Kocher, Secretary

CERTIFICATE OF SECRETARY

The undersigned Secretary of the Board of the Marina Coast Water District hereby certifies that the foregoing is a full, true and correct copy of Resolution No. 2015-09 adopted March 2, 2015.

Bill Kocher, Secretary

Marina Coast Water District
Agenda Transmittal

Agenda Item: 8-B

Meeting Date: March 2, 2015

Prepared By: Paula Riso

Approved By: Bill Kocher

Agenda Title: Approve the Draft Minutes of the Regular Board Meeting of February 17, 2015

Staff Recommendation: The Board of Directors approve the draft minutes of the February 17, 2015 regular Board meeting.

Background: *2014 Strategic Plan, Mission Statement – We Provide high quality water, wastewater collection and conservation services at a reasonable cost, through planning, management and the development of water resources in an environmentally sensitive manner.*

Discussion/Analysis: The draft minutes of February 17, 2015 are provided for the Board to consider approval.

Environmental Review Compliance: None required.

Financial Impact: ___Yes ___X___No Funding Source/Recap: None

Other Considerations: The Board can suggest changes/corrections to the minutes.

Material Included for Information/Consideration: Draft minutes of February 17, 2015.

Action Required: ___Resolution ___X___Motion ___Review

Board Action

Motion By _____ Seconded By _____ No Action Taken _____

Ayes _____ Abstained _____

Noes _____ Absent _____

Marina Coast Water District
Agenda Transmittal

Agenda Item: 9-A

Meeting Date: March 2, 2015

Prepared By: Kelly Cadiente

Approved By: Bill Kocher

Agenda Title: Discussion and Possible Action to Consider Adoption of Resolution No. 2015-06 to Approve a FY 2014-2015 Capital Budget Adjustment of \$650,000 for the Regional Desalination Project

Staff Recommendation: The Board of Directors consider adoption of Resolution No. 2015-06 to approve FY 2014-2015 Capital Budget adjustment of \$650,000 for the Regional Desalination Project (RDP).

Background: *Strategic Plan, Goal No. 4 – To manage the District’s finances in the most effective and fiscally responsible manner.*

On June 27, 2014, the Board passed and adopted Resolution No. 2014-26 adopting the Marina Coast Water District Budget for FY 2014-2015.

Discussion/Analysis: The District captures legal costs for the RDP as a project cost within the Project. On June 27, 2014, the Board adopted the District budget including a capital budget that included \$1,000,000 for the RDP. Upon completion and analysis of the District’s FY 2014-2015 Mid-Year Financial Report, staff identified that the approved budgeted amount for the RDP legal costs of \$1,000,000 was nearly exhausted (\$945,634 as of December 31, 2014). In order to continue the District’s efforts to recapture the costs of the RDP this fiscal year, a budget adjustment to the Capital budget is necessary.

Staff contacted special counsels for the RDP and received estimated amounts for legal costs for January 1, 2015 through June 30, 2015 of \$650,000. The estimate includes work on the current litigation regarding the RDP.

Environmental Review Compliance: None.

Financial Impact: Yes No Funding Source/Recap: Ord Water Capital Reserves would fund the budget adjustment. The remaining balance of OW Capital Reserves = \$3,332,477.

Other Considerations: To the extent that we are committed to continuing the litigation in which we are currently involved, there is no alternative to the action recommended.

Materials Included for Information/Consideration: Resolution No. 2015-06.

Action Required: Resolution Motion Review

Board Action

Motion By _____ Seconded By _____ No Action Taken _____

Ayes _____

Abstained _____

Noes _____

Absent _____

March 2, 2015

Resolution No. 2015 - 06
Resolution of the Board of Directors
Marina Coast Water District
Approving a FY 2014-2015 Capital Budget Adjustment of \$650,000
for the Regional Desalination Project

RESOLVED by the Board of Directors (“Directors”) of the Marina Coast Water District (“District”), at a regular meeting duly called and held on March 2, 2015 at 211 Hillcrest Avenue, Marina, California as follows:

WHEREAS, on June 27, 2014, the Board adopted the District budget including a capital budget that included \$1,000,000 for the Regional Desalination Project (RDP); and,

WHEREAS, staff identified that the approved budgeted amount for the RDP legal costs of \$1,000,000 was nearly exhausted (\$945,634 as of December 31, 2014); and,

WHEREAS, based on estimates from special counsel for the RDP, in order to continue the District’s efforts to recapture the costs of the RDP this fiscal year, a budget adjustment to the Capital budget of \$650,000 is necessary.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of Directors of the Marina Coast Water District adopt Resolution No. 2015-06, authorizing a FY 2014-2015 Capital Budget adjustment of \$650,000 for the Regional Desalination Project.

PASSED AND ADOPTED on March 2, 2015 by the Board of Directors of the Marina Coast Water District by the following roll call vote:

Ayes: Directors _____

Noes: Directors _____

Absent: Directors _____

Abstained: Directors _____

Howard Gustafson, President

ATTEST:

Bill Kocher, Secretary

CERTIFICATE OF SECRETARY

The undersigned Secretary of the Board of the Marina Coast Water District hereby certifies that the foregoing is a full, true and correct copy of Resolution No. 2015-06 adopted March 2, 2015.

Bill Kocher, Secretary

Marina Coast Water District
Agenda Transmittal

Agenda Item: 9-B

Meeting Date: March 2, 2015

Prepared By: Bill Kocher

Approved By: Bill Kocher

Agenda Title: Update on Desalination Project Work Plan

Staff Recommendation: Accept report and provide any direction to staff.

Background: At its January 21, 2015 Regular Meeting, the Board directed staff to solicit proposals for a design/build contract for a 2700 acre foot (af) desalination facility; to solicit proposals for environmental services in connection with the desalination project, and; to solicit proposals for professional services to assist the District with the financing this project.

Discussion/Analysis: Since that direction, staff has met with Schaaf and Wheeler and Denise Duffy and Associates to begin this work. Based on the fact that this work is a logical continuation of work already done in the past by Schaaf and Wheeler and Denise Duffy and Associates, in addition to the fact that both are currently under contract with the District for engineering services and environmental services respectively, both are considered uniquely qualified to provide these services as an expansion of their current contracts. To the extent that this work exceeds the current contracts of each, staff will negotiate a not-to-exceed amount that is within the authority of the General Manager.

The work plan for Schaaf and Wheeler (Technical Advisor) will be to provide the following work to produce a Request for Proposals for engineering that delivers a 10% engineering design for a 2700 af desalination plant for the District:

- a. The Technical Advisor will assemble all technical and environmental work done in the past on the District's water augmentation projects including, but not limited to all of the 1995 work on the 300,000 gallons per day (gpd) plant; all of the documents and reports related to the 2008 desalination project; all documents and reports related to the 2009 RUWAP, including the project description from the certified programmatic EIR; all documents and reports related to the Regional Desalination Project.
- b. Based on all the information mentioned above, the Technical Advisor will identify for each of the desalination project components, i.e. intake (wells), transmission piping, desalination plant, brine concentrate disposal, the strategy/location that has the greatest potential for successful project design. This analysis will form the basis for the RFP for 10% engineering design.
- c. The Technical Advisor will include discussion in the RFP for 10% design that the District may be interested in building a desalination project using a modular approach designing for a maximum production potential of 2,700 af but constructed in a way that would allow the District to bring more production on line as demand occurs.

- d. In cooperation with the environmental consultant, the Technical Advisor will identify all likely permits that would be needed, e.g. Department of Public Health, Coastal Commission, State Lands, Army Corps, State Water Resources Control Board, etc., indicating the likely timing of each.
- e. Assist the District with consideration for how the project could/should be delivered, i.e. design/build, design/bid/build, etc. This discussion should include consideration for project delivery for each component of the desalination project, i.e., whether the delivery method could/should be the same for the intake wells, pump stations, conveyance piping, desalination plant, brine concentrate system or whether it would be in the District's best interests to treat each of the components separately.
- f. This work is anticipated to be completed in no more than 4-6 weeks.

The work plan for Denise Duffy and Associates will be to provide the following work that supports the issuance of and RFP for 10% engineering design for a 2,700 af desalination project:

- a. As Schaaf and Wheeler assembles all the technical and environmental work done in the past on the District's Water Augmentation projects, serve as a resource to them in the collection of all the data.
- b. As Schaaf and Wheeler narrows the project component descriptions down to those with the greatest technical potential for successful project design, the environmental consultant will advise on whether or not each of those project components have the greatest environmental and successful permitting potential for successful project design.

As with the work of Schaaf and Wheeler, at the conclusion of the conceptual design and issuance of an RFP for 10% engineering design, staff will also issue an RFP for environmental services that first support the 10% design team and permitting but secondly to proceed to CEQA and if needed, NEPA compliance.

Environmental Review Compliance: Environmental compliance will be a significant part of the initiation of this project and will require CEQA and possibly NEPA compliance.

Financial Impact: Yes X No Funding Source/Recap: While these two consultant contracts will require expense by the District, both are already under contract to the District and will be working from those contracts. It is possible, if not likely, that the effort required will exceed those existing contracts and will require a not-to-exceed expansion/extension of those contracts. Assuming such a contract expansion falls within the authority of the General Manager, it would be handled administratively. If it exceeds that authority, staff would return to the Board for approval.

However, it is important to note that the current budget does not include any money for the 10% engineering contract, the larger environmental services contract, nor the financial planning contract. Assuming any of those three contracts are awarded in the current fiscal year that ends on June 30, 2015, an amendment to the current budget would be necessary.

Other Considerations: The work described herein to get the District to a Request for Proposals for Design/Build (or any other delivery method) for a 2,700 af desalination project diverges just

slightly from the direction to immediately proceed to design/build but will not add time to the schedule. It varies in the following ways:

- 1) It calls for a Technical Advisor and Environmental Consultant to use all the materials regarding desalination the District has completed in the past and meld that into a project description that describes the project components with greatest potential for successful project design, technically, environmentally, and permitting. The District has an impressive amount of work that adequately describes all of the necessary components of a successful project but there is no one document that puts it all together into a buildable project.
- 2) This plan calls for an RFP for 10% design before the District makes a decision on project delivery. Any construction project like this one always begins with 1) a review of available data; 2) a conceptual engineering report, and; 3) some level of engineering work (expressed as a percentage) to be completed prior to a cost estimate. The first two of those tasks we are doing in-house with Schaaf & Wheeler and Denise Duffy and Associates. The third we are soliciting proposals for and that work will allow an engineer's estimate of cost and enough design detail to allow us to proceed with the preparation of CEQA and NEPA work, to make an informed decision about method of project delivery of each of the components, and the development of a financing plan.
- 3) Staff has chosen to move quickly on getting the desalination project underway and has not yet begun any work to begin the request for proposals for financial planning. Once we get the RFP out for engineering services for the 10% design, we will begin the process of soliciting financing plan proposals. Between now and the time we solicit those proposals, we will be researching grant and low-interest loan proposals as well as investigating public-private partnerships as a funding mechanism.
- 4) Likewise, staff has not begun any work on energy offsets, preferring instead to delay that discussion until we have better information on the likely energy demands and the Board has made a decision about its goal in energy offset, e.g. net carbon neutral, etc. At the time the District is prepared to proceed with that aspect of the project, it might well choose to pursue a power purchase agreement with the Association of California Water Agencies preferred green electricity provider.
- 5) Finally, staff would recommend MCWD rejoin CalDesal as it restarts its desalination planning. Regulatory agencies in the State of California continue to struggle with reasonable and protective regulations regarding the permitting of desalination projects. Those State agencies have come to rely on CalDesal members for good scientific and technical information upon which it can rely in the establishment of environmentally protective standards that do not preclude the construction of desalination plants. One such example of one of these collaborative efforts will be the final decisions by the State Water Resources Control Board this April on brine concentrate disposal. That agency has worked closely with CalDesal to model various methods of brine concentrate disposal to establish new standards in the update to Ocean Plan. MCWD should be at the table for those discussions and as a member of CalDesal, it would be invited to participate. Annual membership is \$5,000.

Material Included for Information/Consideration: None.

Action Required: _____Resolution _____Motion _____Review

Board Action

Motion By _____ Seconded By _____ No Action Taken _____

Ayes _____

Abstained _____

Noes _____

Absent _____

Marina Coast Water District
Agenda Transmittal

Agenda Item: 9-C

Meeting Date: March 2, 2015

Submitted By: Paula Riso

Presented By: Bill Kocher

Agenda Title: Discussion and Possible Action to Consider Adoption of Resolution No. 2015-10 to Concur in Nomination to the ACWA/JPIA Executive Committee

Staff Recommendation: The Board of Directors discuss whether to support Scotts Valley Water District Director, David T. Hodgin for reelection to the ACWA/JPIA Executive Committee.

Background: ACWA/JPIA sent notice that there will be an election to the Executive Committee on May 4, 2015. The candidates must receive concurring in nomination resolutions from three other JPIA members, and Scotts Valley Water District has requested that MCWD support their Director.

Discussion/Analysis: There are three incumbents running for office, E.G. "Jerry" Gladback, Castaic Lake, WA; David Hodgin, Scotts Valley Water District; W.D. "Bill" Knutson, Yuima Municipal Water District. The MCWD Board may concur in the nomination of as many candidates as they wish, however, we have only been asked by Scotts Valley Water District as they are also a local agency.

Environmental Review Compliance: None required.

Prior Committee or Board Action: None.

Financial Impact: Yes No Funding Source/Recap: None.

Other Considerations: The Board can decide not to support David T. Hodgin or any other incumbent running for office.

Material Included for Information/Consideration: Resolution No. 2015-10; ACWA/JPIA e-letter, Nomination Procedures, and email correspondence from Scotts Valley Water District; letter requesting concurrence; and David t. Hodgin's resume.

Action Required: Resolution Motion Review
(Roll call vote is required.)

Board Action

Motion By _____ Seconded By _____ No Action Taken _____

Ayes _____ Abstained _____

Noes _____ Absent _____

March 2, 2015

Resolution No. 2015 - 10
Resolution of the Board of Directors
Marina Coast Water District
Concurring in Nomination to the Executive Committee of the
Association of California Water Agencies Joint Powers Insurance Authority ("ACWA/JPIA")

RESOLVED by the Board of Directors ("Directors") of the Marina Coast Water District ("District"), at a regular meeting duly called and held on March 2, 2015 at 211 Hillcrest Avenue, Marina, California as follows:

WHEREAS, this district is a member district of the ACWA/JPIA; and,

WHEREAS, the Bylaws of the ACWA/JPIA provide that in order for a nomination to be made to ACWA/JPIA's Executive Committee, three member districts must concur with the nominating district, and,

WHEREAS, another ACWA/JPIA member district, the Scotts Valley Water District, has requested that this district concur in its nomination of its member of the ACWA/JPIA Board of Directors to the Executive Committee of the ACWA/JPIA.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Directors of the Marina Coast Water District, that this district concur with the nomination of David T. Hodgkin of the Scotts Valley Water District to the Executive Committee of the ACWA/JPIA; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the District Secretary is hereby directed to transmit a certified copy of this Resolution to the ACWA/JPIA at P.O. Box 619082, Roseville, CA 95661-9082, forthwith.

PASSED AND ADOPTED on March 2, 2015 by the Board of Directors of the Marina Coast Water District by the following roll call vote:

Ayes: Directors _____

Noes: Directors _____

Absent: Directors _____

Abstained: Directors _____

Howard Gustafson, President

ATTEST:

Bill Kocher, Secretary

CERTIFICATE OF SECRETARY

The undersigned Secretary of the Board of the Marina Coast Water District hereby certifies that the foregoing is a full, true and correct copy of Resolution No. 2015-10 adopted March 2, 2015.

Bill Kocher, Secretary

Marina Coast Water District
Agenda Transmittal

Agenda Item: 9-D

Meeting Date: March 2, 2015

Submitted By: Paula Riso

Presented By: Bill Kocher

Agenda Title: Discussion and Possible Action to Consider Providing Direction Regarding the Nomination to the Coastal Network, Seat A, of the California Special Districts Association Board

Staff Recommendation: The Board of Directors discuss whether to select a Board member to run for nomination to the Coastal Network, Seat A, of the California Special Districts Association (CSDA) Board.

Background: Seat A of the Coastal Network to CSDA's Board is up for election for the 2016-2018 term. CSDA is asking for nominations to Seat A of the Coastal Network on their Board and has certain commitments and expectations for that Board seat. Those expectations are defined in the letter received on February 24th. After the nominations are received, CSDA will mail ballots on June 5th which are due back to them by August 7, 2015.

Discussion/Analysis: CSDA states that the District is eligible to nominate one person, a Board member or managerial employee, for election to their Board of Directors. Each network has three seats on the Board with staggered 3-year terms. If the Board decides to select a member to run for nomination, that nominee will receive a Candidate Packet in the mail which will include campaign guidelines.

Environmental Review Compliance: None required.

Prior Committee or Board Action: None.

Financial Impact: Yes No Funding Source/Recap: None.

Other Considerations: The Board can decide to not select any member to run for nomination.

Material Included for Information/Consideration: CSDA letter, Nomination Form, and Information sheet.

Action Required: Resolution Motion Review

Board Action

Motion By _____ Seconded By _____ No Action Taken _____

Ayes _____ Abstained _____

Noes _____ Absent _____

Marina Coast Water District
Agenda Transmittal

Agenda Item: 10-A

Meeting Date: March 2, 2015

Prepared By: Kelly Cadiente

Approved By: Bill Kocher

Agenda Title: Distribute Draft Budget for Discussion at the Budget Workshop on March 16, 2015

Staff Recommendation: The Board of Directors receive a copy of the draft budget for discussion at the March 16th budget workshop.

Background: *Strategic Plan, Goal No. 4 – To manage the District's finances in the most effective and fiscally responsible manner.*

Discussion/Analysis: The draft is not for discussion at this meeting. Staff is providing it at the Board meeting on March 2nd so that the Board has two weeks to review it before the scheduled workshop. If there are any changes before March 16th, a revised draft will be distributed to the Board.

A hard copy of the draft budget will be handed out at the March, 2, 2015 Board meeting.